

EXHIBIT 1



901 New York Avenue, N.W.
Suite 900 East
Washington, DC 20001

Telephone (202) 783-6040
Facsimile (202) 783-6031
www.rothwellfigg.com
info@rothwellfigg.com

Offices in Washington, D.C. | New York | Boston

May 7, 2025

VIA ECF

Hon. Ona T. Wang
United States Magistrate Judge
Southern District of New York

E. Anthony Figg	Jennifer B. Maisel
George R. Repper	Jess M. Collen
Steven Lieberman	Jeffrey A. Lindenbaum*
Joseph A. Hynds	Mark T. Rawls
Martin M. Zoltick	Nicole M. DeAbrantes
R. Danny Huntington	Davide F. Schiavetti
Joo Mee Kim	Vivian Y. Tian
Brian S. Rosenbloom	Kristen J. Logan
Jennifer P. Nock	Sheena X. Wang
Brett A. Postal	Gary J. Prato
Aydin H. Harston, Ph.D.	James T. Pawlowski
Richard Wydeven	Bryan B. Thompson
Sharon L. Davis	Melissa C. Santos, Ph.D.
Robert P. Parker	Andrew C. Stewart
Jenny L. Colgate	Adam M. Nicolais
Leo M. Loughlin	Gregory S. DeLassus
Michael V. Battaglia	Michael P. Ellenberger
Michael H. Jones	Mary L. Mullins*
Rachel M. Echols	Michael A. Saunders
Daniel L. Shores	Stephanie E. Waltersdorff

*Not a member of the D.C. Bar. Practice limited to patent, trademark and copyright matters and cases in federal courts.

Of Counsel
Jane F. Collen
James R. Hastings*
Mitchell E. Radin

Re: *In Re: OpenAI, Inc., Copyright Infringement Litigation*, No. 1:25-md-3143, This Document Relates to: *The New York Times Company v. Microsoft Corporation, et al.*, No. 1:23-cv-11195

Dear Magistrate Judge Wang:

We write on behalf of Plaintiffs Daily News, LP, *et al.* (the “*Daily News Plaintiffs*”), The New York Times Company (“*The Times*”), and the Center for Investigative Reporting (collectively, the “*News Plaintiffs*”). Based on information recently produced by OpenAI, News Plaintiffs request that a discovery hearing be rescheduled as soon as possible to hear argument on *The Times*’s and *Daily News Plaintiffs*’ letter motion to compel OpenAI to identify the output logs it has destroyed since *The Times* filed its Original Complaint on December 27, 2023 (Dkt. [483](#)).¹

Specifically, in response to this Court’s order directing Defendants to respond to News Plaintiffs by March 31, 2025 (Dkt. [484](#)), OpenAI served a letter stating the volume of ChatGPT Free, Pro, and Plus “user conversations” it has destroyed since December 27, 2023. That letter is attached hereto as Exhibit A. As reflected in that letter, the volume of destroyed user conversations for these products is substantial: over [REDACTED] user conversations.² On April 11, 2025, OpenAI also produced to News Plaintiffs over 3 terabytes of metadata reflecting the volume of user conversations OpenAI had (as of that date) in its possession for ChatGPT Free, Pro, and Plus from

¹ This motion in turn relates to News Plaintiffs’ prior motion to compel at Dkt. [379](#). Unless otherwise indicated, all docket references herein are to *The Times* Dkt., Case No. 23-cv-11195. In response to Judge Stein’s Case Management Order No. 1 issued April 30, 2025 (*In re: OpenAI, Inc., Copyright Infringement Litigation*, Case No. 1:25-md-3143 at Dkt. 14), News Plaintiffs again asked OpenAI to “immediately cease its ongoing destruction of potentially relevant output log data and preserve all such data hereinafter,” and OpenAI declined to confirm that it was ceasing its ongoing output log data destruction practices. *See Exhibit B*.

² News Plaintiffs have provisionally redacted this number in the public filing because, in preparing the Parties’ joint letter containing a summary of discovery disputes to be heard at the April 10, 2025 discovery conference—which has since been adjourned *sine die* (Dkt. [519](#))—OpenAI designated this number confidential. News Plaintiffs do not agree with OpenAI’s designation.



May 7, 2025

Page 2

December 9, 2022 until December 19, 2024. When the “destroyed” and “retained” user conversation data is viewed together, the following three facts are apparent:

- *First*, in the immediate months after The Times filed its initial Complaint in December 2023, OpenAI had been destroying [REDACTED] of all user conversation data for its ChatGPT Free, Plus, and Pro products.³
- *Second*, a few weeks before OpenAI sent its November 15, 2024, letter putting News Plaintiffs on notice for the first time that it was not preserving user conversation data (Dkt. 379-13)—[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] user conversations.
- *Third*, in January 2025—just after News Plaintiffs sought to confirm for a second time that OpenAI was preserving all relevant user conversations (see Dkt. 379-14)⁴—[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]


The chart below overlays the user conversation data OpenAI has retained (in navy blue), the user conversation data OpenAI has destroyed (in pink), and annotations for the three points in time referenced above (in red).

³ OpenAI has not provided data on the user conversation data it destroyed prior to December 27, 2023, the date The Times filed its lawsuit.

⁴ The Times first sent a letter to OpenAI on January 23, 2024, stating that “OpenAI is obligated to preserve OpenAI is obligated to preserve all documents related to … output generated by the Models, including output that repeats or summarizes training material or contains hallucinations or misinformation … [and] use of The Times’s content for retrieval augmented generation…” (Dkt. 379-2 at 3), and the parties’ subsequent correspondence is summarized in News Plaintiffs’ earlier motion (Dkt. 379 at 2).



May 7, 2025
Page 3



See Exhibit C, Declaration of Lillian Dai.

Furthermore, OpenAI has refused to provide News Plaintiffs with the volume of output log data OpenAI has destroyed (or retained) for its other products at issue in the litigation, including the API and ChatGPT Enterprise products. On April 2, News Plaintiffs sent a follow up letter to OpenAI's March 31 letter and still have not received a response. *See Exhibit D.*

In view of OpenAI's substantial, ongoing destruction of user conversation data for at least ChatGPT Free, Plus, and Pro, and OpenAI's refusal to cease its destruction practices, News Plaintiffs request a hearing on this issue to ensure that relevant and responsive information to News Plaintiffs' claims is preserved.

May 7, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Steven Lieberman
Steven Lieberman
Rothwell, Figg, Ernst & Manbeck, P.C.

/s/ Ian B. Crosby
Ian B. Crosby
Susman Godfrey L.L.P.

/s/ Matt Topic
Matt Topic
Loevy & Loevy

cc: All Counsel of Record (via ECF)

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

IN RE:

OPENAI, INC.,

COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

No. 1:23-cv-11195 (SHS) (OTW)

25-md-3143 (SHS) (OTW)

DECLARATION OF JENNIFER B. MAISEL

I, Jennifer B. Maisel, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney at Rothwell, Figg, Ernst & Manbeck, P.C., which represents Plaintiffs The New York Times Company (“The Times”) and Daily News, LP et al. (“Daily News”) (collectively, the “News Plaintiffs”) in this case. I submit this declaration on behalf of the News Plaintiffs in support of the News Plaintiffs’ Supplemental Letter regarding OpenAI’s destruction of output log data. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration. If called as a witness, I could and would competently testify as to these facts under oath.

2. Attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of a March 31, 2025, letter Elana Nightingale Dawson sent on behalf of OpenAI to me.

3. Attached as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of an April 30, 2025, email chain between counsel for News Plaintiffs and counsel for OpenAI.

4. Attached as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of Dr. Lillian Dai’s declaration describing her and her team’s review and analysis of OpenAI’s output log metadata.

5. Attached as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of an April 2, 2025, letter I sent on behalf of News Plaintiffs to Elana Nightingale Dawson, counsel for OpenAI.

6. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on May 7, 2025

/s/ Jennifer Maisel

Jennifer B. Maisel

EXHIBIT A

FILED UNDER SEAL

EXHIBIT B

From: Elana.NightingaleDawson@lw.com
To: [Jennifer B. Maisel](mailto:Jennifer.B.Maisel@rothwellfigg.com)
Cc: [Mark T. Rawls](mailto:Mark.T.Rawls@rothwellfigg.com); [DailyNews-AI-RFEM](mailto:DailyNews-AI-RFEM@rothwellfigg.com); NYT-AI-SG-Service@simplelists.susmangodfrey.com; openaicopyrightlitigation.lwteam@lw.com; OpenAICopyright@mofo.com; KVPOAI@keker.com
Subject: RE: NYT/DN - Correspondence
Date: Wednesday, April 30, 2025 11:32:41 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Counsel,

Thank you for your email. As OpenAI has made clear throughout, it has to date and continues to take reasonable steps to preserve all evidence that may be relevant to this litigation. The Court also recognized that there is an ongoing dispute related to preservation of “output log data.” Indeed, your email notably omits the Court’s footnote stating: “If there is currently a dispute about the scope or degree of preservation of output log data, the parties should identify the dispute in the Report.” MDL, ECF 14 at 4 n.1.

The letters you sent contained numerous additional as well as follow-up questions on various, discrete topics. We are endeavoring to respond as soon as we are able, and to the extent we are able. We expect to respond to both letters at the same time in advance of the parties’ submission of the Case Management Statement.

Best regards,
Elana

Elana Nightingale Dawson (she/her/hers) | **LATHAM & WATKINS LLP**

Tel: 202-637-2303 | Cell: 213-703-8628 | Fax: 202-637-2201

From: Jennifer B. Maisel <jmaisel@rothwellfigg.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 30, 2025 6:39 PM
To: Nightingale Dawson, Elana (DC) <Elana.NightingaleDawson@lw.com>
Cc: Mark T. Rawls <MRawls@rothwellfigg.com>; DailyNews-AI-RFEM <DailyNews-AI-RFEM@rothwellfigg.com>; NYT-AI-SG-Service@simplelists.susmangodfrey.com; #C-M OPENAI COPYRIGHT LITIGATION - LW TEAM <openaicopyrightlitigation.lwteam@lw.com>; OpenAICopyright@mofo.com; KVPOAI@keker.com
Subject: RE: NYT/DN - Correspondence

Counsel,

In view of Judge Stein’s Order issued today (MDL Dkt. 14), and the parties’ dispute and ongoing meet and confer efforts concerning OpenAI’s destruction practices for its output log data, please confirm that OpenAI will immediately cease its ongoing destruction of potentially relevant output log data and preserve all such data hereinafter. See Order at p. 4 (“Until the parties reach an agreement on a preservation plan for all actions or the Court orders otherwise, each party shall take reasonable steps to preserve all evidence that may be relevant to this litigation.”).

Relatedly, I am following up again on OpenAI’s response to our April 2 letter, which you

promised “in short order.” Please confirm that we will have a written response by Friday May 2nd.

Best regards,
Jen



Jennifer Maisel (she/her)
Rothwell, Figg, Ernst & Manbeck, P.C.
901 New York Avenue, N.W.
Suite 900 East
Washington, D.C. 20001

Main Number: 202.783.6040
Direct Number: 202.626.3548
Fax Number: 202.783.6031
Email: jmaisel@rothwellfigg.com
Website: www.rothwellfigg.com

The information contained in this electronic message and any attachments to this message are intended for the exclusive use of the addressee(s) and may contain confidential and/or privileged information. If you are not the addressee, note that any disclosure, copy, distribution or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify Rothwell, Figg, Ernst & Manbeck, P.C. immediately at (202) 783-6040 or email us at jmaisel@rfem.com, and destroy all copies of this message and any attachments.

From: Jennifer B. Maisel
Sent: Friday, April 18, 2025 9:49 AM
To: Elana.NightingaleDawson@lw.com
Cc: Mark T. Rawls <MRawls@rothwellfigg.com>; DailyNews-AI-RFEM <DailyNews-AI-RFEM@rothwellfigg.com>; NYT-AI-SG-Service@simplelists.susmangodfrey.com; openaicopyrightlitigation.lwteam@lw.com; OpenAICopyright@mofo.com; KVPOAI@keker.com
Subject: RE: NYT/DN - Correspondence

Counsel,

Following up on OpenAI’s response to our April 2 letter, as it has now been over two weeks. When can we expect a response?

Thanks,
Jen



Jennifer Maisel (she/her)
Rothwell, Figg, Ernst & Manbeck, P.C.

901 New York Avenue, N.W.
Suite 900 East
Washington, D.C. 20001

Main Number: 202.783.6040
Direct Number: 202.626.3548
Fax Number: 202.783.6031
Email: jmaisel@rothwellfigg.com
Website: www.rothwellfigg.com

The information contained in this electronic message and any attachments to this message are intended for the exclusive use of the addressee(s) and may contain confidential and/or privileged information. If you are not the addressee, note that any disclosure, copy, distribution or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify Rothwell, Figg, Ernst & Manbeck, P.C. immediately at (202) 783-6040 or email us at jmaisel@rfem.com, and destroy all copies of this message and any attachments.

From: Elana.NightingaleDawson@lw.com <Elana.NightingaleDawson@lw.com>
Sent: Monday, April 7, 2025 3:56 PM
To: Jennifer B. Maisel <jmaisel@rothwellfigg.com>
Cc: Mark T. Rawls <MRawls@rothwellfigg.com>; DailyNews-AI-RFEM <DailyNews-AI-RFEM@rothwellfigg.com>; NYT-AI-SG-Service@simplelists.susmangodfrey.com; openaicopyrightlitigation.lwteam@lw.com; OpenAICopyright@mofo.com; KVPOAI@keker.com
Subject: RE: NYT/DN - Correspondence

Jen,

Thank you for your letter. As you saw, I was out of the office last week when you sent the letter. I am writing to confirm receipt and to let you know that we will get back to you in short order.

Best regards,
Elana

Elana Nightingale Dawson (she/her/hers) | **LATHAM & WATKINS LLP**

Tel: 202-637-2303 | Cell: 213-703-8628 | Fax: 202-637-2201

From: Jennifer B. Maisel <jmaisel@rothwellfigg.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 2, 2025 5:58 PM
To: Nightingale Dawson, Elana (DC) <Elana.NightingaleDawson@lw.com>
Cc: Mark T. Rawls <MRawls@rothwellfigg.com>; DailyNews-AI-RFEM <DailyNews-AI-RFEM@rothwellfigg.com>; NYT-AI-SG-Service@simplelists.susmangodfrey.com; #C-M OPENAI COPYRIGHT LITIGATION - LW TEAM <openaicopyrightlitigation.lwteam@lw.com>; OpenAICopyright@mofo.com; KVPOAI@keker.com
Subject: RE: NYT/DN - Correspondence

Counsel,

Please see the attached correspondence.

Best regards,
Jen



Jennifer Maisel (she/her)
Rothwell, Figg, Ernst & Manbeck, P.C.
901 New York Avenue, N.W.
Suite 900 East
Washington, D.C. 20001

Main Number: 202.783.6040
Direct Number: 202.626.3548
Fax Number: 202.783.6031
Email: jmaisel@rothwellfigg.com
Website: www.rothwellfigg.com

The information contained in this electronic message and any attachments to this message are intended for the exclusive use of the addressee(s) and may contain confidential and/or privileged information. If you are not the addressee, note that any disclosure, copy, distribution or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify Rothwell, Figg, Ernst & Manbeck, P.C. immediately at (202) 783-6040 or email us at jmaisel@rfem.com, and destroy all copies of this message and any attachments.

From: Elana.NightingaleDawson@lw.com <Elana.NightingaleDawson@lw.com>
Sent: Monday, March 31, 2025 9:43 PM
To: Jennifer B. Maisel <jmaisel@rothwellfigg.com>
Cc: Mark T. Rawls <MRawls@rothwellfigg.com>; DailyNews-AI-RFEM <DailyNews-AI-RFEM@rothwellfigg.com>; NYT-AI-SG-Service@simplelists.susmangodfrey.com; openaicopyrightlitigation.lwteam@lw.com; OpenAICopyright@mofo.com; KVPOAI@keker.com
Subject: NYT/DN - Correspondence

Counsel,

Please see the attached correspondence.

Regards,
Elana

Elana Nightingale Dawson (she/her/hers) | **LATHAM & WATKINS LLP**
555 Eleventh St., NW Suite 1000 | Washington, DC 20004
Tel: 202-637-2303 | Cell: 213-703-8628 | Fax: 202-637-2201 | <http://www.lw.com>

This email may contain material that is confidential, privileged and/or attorney work product for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, disclosure, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies including any attachments.

Latham & Watkins LLP or any of its affiliates may monitor electronic communications sent or received by our networks in order to protect our business and verify compliance with our policies and relevant legal requirements. Any personal information contained or referred to within this electronic communication will be processed in accordance with the firm's privacy notices and Global Privacy Standards available at www.lw.com.

EXHIBIT C

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

IN RE:

OPENAI, INC.,

COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

No. 1:23-cv-11195 (SHS) (OTW)

25-md-3143 (SHS) (OTW)

DECLARATION OF LILLIAN DAI

I, Dr. Lillian Dai, declare as follows:

1. I am the Founder and Principal of Avia Global Consulting Corporation. I submit this declaration on behalf of the News Plaintiffs in support of their Supplemental Letter regarding OpenAI's destruction of output log data. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth below and if called as a witness, could testify competently thereto.

2. Members of my team and I have reviewed and analyzed OpenAI's letter dated March 31, 2025 that states the volume of ChatGPT Free, Pro, and Plus "user conversations" that it has destroyed since December 27, 2023 (the "Destroyed Conversation Data").

3. Members of my team and I have reviewed and analyzed the metadata produced by OpenAI that reflects the volume of ChatGPT Free, Pro, and Plus "user conversations" from December 9, 2022 to December 19, 2024 in OpenAI's possession (the "Retained Conversation Metadata").


4. Upon reviewing the Destroyed Conversation Data in the context of the Retained Conversation Metadata, I observed that in the month after The New York Times Company ("The

Times") filed its initial Complaint in December 2023, OpenAI was destroying [REDACTED] of all user conversation data for its ChatGPT Free, Plus, and Pro products.

5. I also observed that in late October 2024, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

6. Additionally, in early January, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

7. Below is a chart that members of my team and I prepared based on the Retained Conversation Metadata and the information OpenAI shared in its March 31, 2025 letter that shows the volume of conversation data that OpenAI has retained over time (in navy blue) and destroyed over time (in pink).



8. I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on _____



Dr. Lillian Dai

EXHIBIT D



901 New York Avenue, N.W.
Suite 900 East
Washington, DC 20001

Telephone (202) 783-6040
Facsimile (202) 783-6031
www.rothwellfigg.com
info@rothwellfigg.com

Offices in Washington, D.C. | New York | Boston

April 2, 2025

VIA EMAIL

Elana Nightingale Dawson
555 Eleventh Street, N.W., Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 20004
Elana.NightingaleDawson@lw.com

G. Franklin Rothwell
(1928-2011)
Harry F. Manbeck, Jr.
(1926-2025)

E. Anthony Figg
George R. Repper
Steven Lieberman
Joseph A. Hynds
Martin M. Zoltick
R. Danny Huntington
Joo Mee Kim
Brian S. Rosenbloom
Jennifer P. Nock
Brett A. Postal
Aydin H. Harston, Ph.D.
Richard Wydeven
Sharon L. Davis
Robert P. Parker
Jenny L. Colgate
Leo M. Loughlin
Michael V. Battaglia
Michael H. Jones
Rachel M. Echols
Daniel L. Shores

Jennifer B. Maisel
Jess M. Collen
Jeffrey A. Lindenbaum*
Mark T. Rawls
Nicole M. DeAbrantes
Davide F. Schiavetti
Vivian Y. Tian
Kristen J. Logan
Sheena X. Wang
Gary J. Prato
James T. Pawlowski
Bryan B. Thompson
Melissa C. Santos, Ph.D.
Andrew C. Stewart
Adam M. Nicolais
Gregory S. DeLassus
Michael P. Ellenberger
Mary L. Mullins*
Michael A. Saunders
Stephanie E. Waltersdorff

*Not a member of the D.C.
Bar. Practice limited to patent,
trademark and copyright matters
and cases in federal courts.

Of Counsel
Jane F. Collen
James R. Hastings*
Mitchell E. Radin*

Re: ChatGPT Retention Policies - *The New York Times Company v. Microsoft Corp. et al.*, Case No. 24-cv-1195-SHS; *Daily News, LP et al. v. Microsoft Corp. et al.*, Case No. 24-cv-3285-SHS

Counsel:

We are in receipt of your letter dated March 31, 2025, regarding output log data for OpenAI's ChatGPT and API Platform products that OpenAI has not retained since the filing of *The New York Times* litigation on December 27, 2023. We are also in receipt of your email dated April 1, 2025, regarding OpenAI's available output log data for ChatGPT. While you have now admitted that OpenAI has deleted or failed to retain over [REDACTED] ChatGPT conversations, unfortunately the information you provided is incomplete with respect to our request for OpenAI to provide: (1) an identification of all output log data that has been destroyed, including an estimated volume thereof based on the best information available to OpenAI, since December 27, 2023, when The Times filed its original Complaint, (2) when such data was destroyed, and (3) whether such data is retrievable. We set forth below some of the omissions from your correspondence and our follow-up questions.

I. API Platform and ChatGPT Enterprise

With respect to the output log data for OpenAI's API Platform and ChatGPT Enterprise, your March 31, 2025, letter states that [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] As previously discussed, we are concerned that the volume of "completions" and "conversations" (i.e., prompts and outputs) that OpenAI did not retain from these products is substantial in view of OpenAI's Enterprise Privacy policy, which states: "OpenAI may securely retain API inputs and outputs for up to 30 days to provide the services and to identify abuse," and "[a]fter 30 days, API inputs and outputs are removed from our systems, unless we are legally



Elana Nightingale Dawson
April 2, 2025
Page 2

required to retain them." *See* Enterprise privacy at OpenAI, dated October 31, 2024, available at <https://openai.com/enterprise-privacy/> (accessed April 2, 2025).

In view of your representation that [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] please provide: (1) a chart identifying the volume of data that OpenAI has preserved for these products over time since December 27, 2023, and (2) the usage of these products over time since December 27, 2023.

II. ChatGPT Free, Pro, and Plus

Thank you for providing additional details on OpenAI's output log data for ChatGPT Free, Pro, and Plus. We have a few clarifying questions about the table and chart you provided.

- (1) [REDACTED]
- (2) [REDACTED]
- (3) [REDACTED]
- (4) [REDACTED]
- (5) [REDACTED]
- (6) [REDACTED]



Elana Nightingale Dawson
April 2, 2025
Page 3

In view of the many months we have been meeting and conferring on this issue, we expect OpenAI to provide an answer to these questions no later than Monday, April 7, 2025, so that we may address any further omissions with Magistrate Judge Wang at the April 10, 2025, hearing.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that appears to read "Jennifer Maisel".

Jennifer Maisel

CC: All Counsel of Record